



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/292,190  | 04/15/1999     | LUCIANO CHAVEZ JR.   | AT9-98-737              | 3199            |
| 75  | 590 03/21/2003 |                      |                         |                 |
| DUKE W YEE CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON LLP PO BOX 802334 DALLAS, TX 75380 |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|   |                |                      | DINH, KHANH Q           |                 |
| DALLAS, IX  | 73380          |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   |                |                      | 2155                    | 13              |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003 | 12              |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No. **09/292,190** 

Applicant(s)

Chavez

Examiner

Khanh Dinh

Art Unit 2155



| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):   |
|--|
| (1) Khanh Dinh   |
| (2) Stephen J. Walder (Undersigned Attorney For Applican (4)   |
| Date of Interview Mar 19, 2003   |
| Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No. If yes, brief description:   |
|  |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,442,685  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   |
| Applicant argues that the French reference (US 6,442,685) does not disclose the step "generating the server mask   |
| based on the server name". The Examiner will update the search and response in the next Office Action.   |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)   |
| i) 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).   |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached |
|  |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required